FOR REVIEW

Continua Author Template

Abstract

Within 200 to 250 words, provide an overview of the theoretical perspective, the empirical domain, and the primary contributions of the paper. Please avoid citations and references in the abstract to the extent that it is possible. Finally, article keywords should also occur in the abstract.

1. Section 1

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur.[1] Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

1.1 Subsection 1

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ante in nibh mauris cursus mattis molestie a iaculis at. Varius vel pharetra vel turpis nunc eget lorem. Gravida rutrum quisque non tellus orci ac. Augue interdum velit euismod in pellentesque massa placerat duis. Ac tortor dignissim convallis aenean et. Tincidunt lobortis feugiat vivamus at. Non blandit massa enim nec dui nunc mattis. Tortor consequat id porta nibh venenatis. Consectetur adipiscing elit pellentesque habitant morbi. Justo laoreet sit amet cursus sit amet. Dignissim sodales ut eu sem. At erat pellentesque adipiscing commodo elit at. Nisi porta lorem mollis aliquam ut porttitor.

1.1.1 Subsubsection

Please limit to two levels of subsections. Here are some examples of how to make lists and use the `cite` function:

- `cite`: Natvig and Salmons 2020
- `citep`: (Adger and Svenonius 2011, 27–29)
- `citet`: Chomsky (1965, 3)
- `citeauthor` and `citeyear`: Halle and Marantz's (1993), please use sparingly

Here's how you put together a numbered list:

1. One
2. Two
3. Three

---

1. Here is a footnote. Please place footnotes after punctuation marks.

2. Examples, tables, and trees

Here's how to gloss examples:

(1) *Ratten essen Käse*
rats   eat   cheese

'Rats eat cheese.'

(2) Norwegian examples from (Fábregas and Putnam 2020, 154)

   a. *Huset*   *blir*   *bygget av ham.*
      house.DEF becomes built   of him

      'The house is being built by him.'

   b. *Huset*   *måtte bli*   *bygget av ham.*
      house.DEF must become built   of him

      'The house had to be built by him.'

Please use the `tipa` package for IPA symbols. Here is the phonetic transcription for *text*: [tʰɛkst]. You can find more information in the `tipa` manual (see https://ctan.org/pkg/tipa?lang=en).

Table 1. Here is an example of a table caption.

Header1	Header2	Header3
1	2	3
4	5	6
7	8	9
10	11	12

This is the basic template for a table. Adjusting to include additional lines (horizontal and vertical) is permitted as long as it's necessary to understand the table. Please use discretion and make tables as simple as possible. Refer to the table in the text using the label, like this: Table 1.

Table 2. This is another caption.

Header1	Header2	Header3
1	2	3
4	5	6
7	8	9
10	11	12

Table 2 is an example of a table with all boundary lines for all of the cells. Again, please try to avoid using these unless they are required to interpret the information presented in the table.

This is an example of a tree structure created/drawn using the `forest` package:

(3)

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\text{EvtP} \Leftarrow \text{External Argument} \\
\text{External Argument} \quad \text{Evt}' \\
\text{Evt} \quad \textsc{init}\text{P} \Leftarrow \text{Causation subevent} \\
\textsc{init} \quad \textsc{proc}\text{P} \Leftarrow \text{Dynamic subevent} \\
\textsc{proc} \quad \textsc{res}\text{P} \Leftarrow \text{Result subevent} \\
\textsc{res} \quad (\text{PP/AP/DP})
\end{array}
$$

And yet another example of a tree using the `forest` package:

(4) A slightly more complex tree

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\textsc{fin} \\
\text{har} \Leftarrow \quad \text{`V'}^2 \\
a \Leftarrow \textsc{pst} \quad \sqrt{\text{kast}}
\end{array}
$$

Abbreviations

Please follow the glossing and abbreviation conventions of the Leipzig glossing rules: https://www.eva.mpg.de/lingua/resources/glossing-rules.php

Supplementary files

This section should be used to provide a stable link to open data following the FAIR principles: https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/.

Ethics and consent

This section is to provide information concerning protocols and consent for human subjects research.

Competing interests

Authors must report any and all competing interests. If there are none, authors must provide a statement such as: "The author(s) have no competing interests to declare."

Author contribution statement

A statement outlining individual author contributions is recommended. Author initials may be used, e.g., AB, CDE, and FG contributed equally to this manuscript.

References

Adger, David, and Peter Svenonius. 2011. Features in minimalist syntax. In *The handbook of linguistic minimalism,* edited by Cedric Boeckx, 27–51. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Chomsky, Noam. 1965. *Aspects of the theory of syntax.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Conklin, Kathy, Ana Pellicer-Sánchez, and Gareth Carrol. 2018. *Eye-tracking: A guide for applied linguistics research.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Fábregas, Antonio, and Michael T Putnam. 2020. *Passives and middles in Mainland Scandinavian: Microvariation through exponency.* Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Grojsean, François, and Bernard Py. 1991. La restructuration d'une première langue: l'intégration de variantes de contact dans la compétence de migrants bilingues. *Linguistique* 27 (2): 35–60.

Hall, Daniel Currie. 2007. The role and representation of contrast in phonological theory. PhD diss., University of Toronto.

Halle, Morris, and Alec Marantz. 1993. Distributed Morphology and the pieces of inflection. In *The view from Building 20: essays in linguistics in honor of Sylvain Bromberger,* edited by Ken Hale and Samuel Keyser, 111–176. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Lardiere, Diane. 2008. Feature-reassembly in second language acquisition. In *The role of formal features in second language acquisition,* edited by Juana M. Liceras, Helmut Zobl, and Helen Goodluck, 107–140. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Natvig, David, and Joseph Salmons. 2020. Fully accepting variation in (pre)history: The pervasive heterogeneity of Germanic rhotics. In *The polymath intellectual: A festschrift in honor of Robert D. King,* edited by Patricia Sutcliffe, 81–102. San Antonio: Agarita Press.

Polinsky, Maria. 2018. *Heritage languages and their speakers.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Putnam, Michael T. 2019. The (in)stability of grammars. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition* 41 (2): 275–278. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263119000299.

———. 2020a. Lexicalizing exponents in multilingual grammars. *Second Language Research* 36 (2): 187–192. https://doi.org/10.1177/0267658319885407.

———. 2020b. One Feature – One Head: features as functional heads in language acquisition and attrition. In *New trends in language acquisition within the generative perspective,* edited by Pedro Guijarro Fuentes and Cristina Suárez-Gómez, 1–23. Dordrecht: Springer.

Putnam, Michael T., Matthew Carlson, and David Reitter. 2018. Integrated, not isolated: defining typological proximity in an integrated multilingual architecture. *Frontiers in Psychology* 8:2212.

Wiltschko, Martina. 2014. *The universal structure of categories: Towards a formal typology.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.